

**VIRGINIA: IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA**

**CAMERON PAUL CROCKETT,**  
Appellant,

v.  
**COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,**  
Appellee.

RECORD NO. 0119-13-1

**DEMAND FOR CONSIDERATION BY A THREE-JUDGE PANEL**

COMES NOW Appellant, by counsel, pursuant to Rule 5A:15A(a) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, and respectfully demands consideration by a three-judge panel of Appellant's previously filed Petition for Appeal, which was denied by an Order of this Court ("the Order") on November 14, 2013. The Order states that the Court is unable to rule on Appellant's first assignment of error because the trial court did not rule on the issue. The Order is in error because, viewing the transcript of December 17, 2012, as a whole, shows that the trial court ruled on the issue by denying Appellant's motion. (See 12/17/12 Tr. at 24, 25, 96.) For the second assignment of error, the Order does not address Appellant's argument that Appellant attempted, without success, to have his prior counsel test the seatbelt mechanism. With respect to Appellant's third assignment of error, the Order is in error because it characterizes the testimony of defense witness Wales as "vague" on a crucial point. Appellant respectfully points out that a reading of the entire testimony indicates that the testimony is not ambiguous regarding the crucial point of the date of the third party confession. Appellant requests oral argument.

Respectfully submitted,

CAMERON PAUL CROCKETT

By: *Afshin Farashahi*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Afshin Farashahi  
Attorney for Appellant